

MINUTES OF THE
EAST COVENTRY TOWNSHIP BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MEETING HELD JANUARY 11, 2016
(Approved February 8, 2016)

The Board of Supervisors held its' regular monthly meeting on Monday, January 11, 2016. Present for the meeting were supervisors Ray Kolb, Karen Nocella, W. Atlee Rinehart, Michael Albert Moyer and Anthony Duffy. Also present were Mark A. Hosterman, Township Solicitor and Brady Flaharty, Township Engineer.

Mr. Kolb called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and the pledge of allegiance was recited.

Mr. Kolb introduced the new Board of Supervisors, Mr. Anthony Duffy.

1. **MINUTES**

Mr. Rinehart proposed the following corrections to the minutes on Page 2, 1st paragraph under **Public Comments**. The current minutes read "Kolb's" Farm, but should read "Kulp's" Farm. Mr. Rinehart proposed the following corrections to the minutes on Page 8, 7th paragraph. The current minutes read "Here is a family that has lived here for over forty (40) years and", but should read "Here is a family that has operated a family owned business for over forty (40) years and". There were no other proposed corrections to the minutes.

Mr. Rinehart made a motion to approve the minutes of December 14, 2015 Board of Supervisors meeting with the corrections as noted. Mrs. Nocella seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

Mr. Rinehart made a motion to approve the minutes of January 4, 2016 Board of Supervisors meeting. Mrs. Nocella seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Mr. Rinehart stated with the start of the new year, the residents may want to sign up for Good Will Ambulance.

3. **REPORTS**

Township Manager's Reports

Mr. Kolb explained Mr. Rodgers is not in attendance due to a funeral in western Pennsylvania. Therefore there will go directly to the Township Engineer's Report.

Consideration of Township Engineer's Report

Mr. Flaharty discussed two (2) items related to the MS4 Program. Item one was the Stream Cleanup, which is proposed for April 23, 2016 at 10:00 am. The cleanup will be from Pigeon Creek to the Schuylkill River. If time allows, Towpath Park will be cleaned up as well. Mr. Moyer made a motion to approve the date for the Annual Stream Cleanup. Mr. Duffy seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote. Mr. Rinehart asked that the Stream Cleanup information be placed in the Township Newsletter.

Mr. Flaharty discussed the second item, which was the MS4 Education Plans. Mr. Rinehart made a motion to approve the MS4 Education Plans. Mrs. Nocella seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

Mr. Rinehart asked Mr. Flaharty if he had an update on the MS4 permit application. Mr. Flaharty stated he is still waiting for approval. Mr. Rinehart asked when the application was submitted. Mr. Flaharty stated the application was submitted in 2002.

Consideration of approving reports from various departments and committees

Mr. Rinehart asked Mrs. Imes about the proposed building permit for 221 Fricks Locks Road which is for a new cellular tower or a modification. Mrs. Imes stated the application is for a modification to the existing tower. Mr. Rinehart made a motion to approve the various department and committees reports. Mrs. Nocella seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

4. Subdivision and Land Development

5. Zoning Hearing Board Applications

6. Other Business

Schuylkill River Trail Presentation

Mr. Dave Stauffer made a presentation of the revised plans for the Schuylkill River Trail. Mr. Stauffer stated that Federal Funding has been approved for the trail. Mr. Stauffer provided the following information:

- The trail will be going to Fricks Locks Village. The trail will be located on the abandoned railroad tracks.
- The County would like to create additional parking at Towpath Park. The County would pay for the cost of constructing of the additional parking.
- The County is continuing to acquire easement rights from property owners.
- The County currently has eight (8) to ten (10) appraisals in process.
- The County still needs to review the appraisals with Federal representatives.
- The County needs to talk with PECO and the Township about expanding parking at Fricks Locks Village.

Mr. Duffy asked if the County is asking for easements only or ownership of the area the trail will be placed. Mr. Stauffer stated the County is asking for easements only.

Mr. Kolb asked if the width of the easement would be the same for the entire length of the trail. Mr. Stauffer stated the County is requiring a minimum of thirty feet (30) for the entire length of the trail.

Mr. Rinehart asked what the trail width would be going across the bridge. Mr. Stauffer stated it would be a ten (10) foot trail, with a minimum of eight (8) feet.

Mr. Hosterman asked about the timeframe on the necessary easement acquisitions. Mr. Hosterman stated his office received a request for an assignment of a portion of the Township easement for the property at 425 Fricks Lock Road, owned by Eagle Monitoring but no other easements for any other Township Properties. Mr. Hosterman asked how long before the County requested the remaining easements. Mr. Stauffer stated the remaining easements are probably at least a month out. Mr. Hosterman would like to see the easements for Towpath Park and the Heyser tract to review at the same time. Mr. Stauffer stated he will also be submitting the easement for Fricks Lock Village. Mr. Hosterman stated the Township is in a lease/purchase option agreement with PECO for the Fricks Lock property, which will probably require a revision to the agreement.

Mr. Tietjen stated at one time the County was going to rehab one of the buildings for restrooms. Is the County still considering this? Mr. Stauffer stated the County is still considering creating

restrooms at the site, but cannot use Federal money. This will have to be done with County money. Mr. Tietjen asked if a copy of the plans could be made available for the Historical Commission meeting scheduled for Wednesday, January 13, 2016. Mr. Kolb will have Mrs. Imes make a copy for Mrs. Brown.

Mr. Robert Preston asked Mr. Stauffer if the County was identifying easements for indemnification clause. Mr. Stauffer stated yes.

Mr. Rinehart asked if the County will be doing the maintenance on the parking areas. Mr. Rinehart asked if the Township or the County will be responsible for mowing. Mr. Stauffer stated they have run into these situations in other Municipalities and these issues are usually worked out between the Township and County workers. However the County will be responsible for maintaining the trail.

Mr. Kolb asked if the trail will be blacktop. Mr. Stauffer stated yes. The County is looking to have a section of the trail for Equestrian use.

Mrs. King asked if private property owners have agreed to the installation of the trail. Mr. Stauffer stated the County is continuing to work with all land owners.

Mr. Duffy asked if anyone had refused or rejected an easement. Mr. Stauffer stated no. In fact, one property owner wants to see the trail and donated the property to the County. The County does have one owner, Eagle Monitoring, which is a company in Canada who is not interested. Apparently he has other easements running across his property. The County is looking to use the Township easement on this property to place the trail.

Mr. Hosterman asked if grant money is available for any condemnation. Mr. Stauffer stated the money used for condemnation is County money.

Mrs. Nocella asked why the County is blacktopping the trail instead of using cinder. Mr. Stauffer stated it is easier to maintain. The County is constantly dealing with washouts at the current location at S. Linfield Road.

Mr. Kolb stated the Township's main concern is the parking at Towpath Park and Fricks Lock.

Mr. Stauffer asked Mr. Hosterman how he wanted to proceed. Mr. Hosterman stated the Township would like an opportunity to review the documents and have a discussion on the project. It would probably be better for Mr. Stauffer to come back to another meeting. Mr. Stauffer stated he will design some conceptual plans of the parking at Towpath Park and Frick Lock.

Mr. Rinehart asked Mr. Flaharty about stormwater. Mr. Flaharty stated the last time the County was in, there was a discussion pertaining to the stormwater. The County will be providing stormwater management along the entire length of the path with an infiltration trench.

Wineberry Disc Golf Course Proposal

Mr. Adam Harris, Harris Disc Golf Course Design presented the Board of Supervisors with a proposal for the installation of a Disc Golf Course on the Wineberry Property open space.

The proposal is an 18-hole disc golf course which includes the construction of tees, installation of baskets, tee signs and a kiosk with message board, course map and rules.

Mr. Tietjen asked how fast the disc travels. Mr. Harris stated the discs travel upwards around fifty (50) mph. Mr. Tietjen stated he read that discs can travel fifty (50) to seventy (70) miles per hour.

Mr. Tietjen has concerns with flying discs traveling that fast around his property. He hopes there are plans for some protection of his property.

Mr. Harris provided details of the concrete "T" and the baskets. Mr. Harris met with the Parks, Recreation & Conservation Committee on December 22, 2015 to review the mapping and layout of where the course would be on the property. The Parks, Recreation & Conservation Committee was in agreement with the proposed layout and thought Mr. Harris should present the layout to the Board of Supervisors at their next meeting. Mr. Harris stated the Wineberry property is a very nice piece of property and the course will be very nice. Mr. Harris stated people will come from all over to play this course and it would not surprise him if people drove two (2) hours to play this course.

Mr. Harris reviewed the cost summary to complete the project. The total project cost is \$58,200.00. The breakdown of the cost are:

18 Course Baskets = 1 Practice Basket, Concrete and locks	\$ 9,000.00
36 Tee pads, concrete 5' x 10' (\$150.00 each)	\$ 5,400.00
36 Tee signs	\$ 1,500.00
Bulletin board with rules sign & map	\$ 800.00
Additional Materials to level/grade tee areas	\$ 2,500.00
Design fees (\$75.00 per hour, estimated 200 hours)	\$ 15,000.00
Project Management Fee (200 hours @ \$30.00 per hour)	\$ 6,000.00
Installation (tees, tee signs, baskets & fairways)	<u>\$ 18,000.00</u>

Total Project Costs \$ 58,200.00

However, Mr. Harris is donating his time and will have volunteer labor on the Installation. The breakdown of the savings are:

Less donated design time	-\$12,000.00
Less donated Project Management Fee	-\$ 5,000.00
Less donated volunteer labor on Installation (estimate 1,000 ours @ \$15.00 per hour)	<u>-\$15,000.00</u>

Total donated design, project management and installation (\$32,000.00)

Total cost of project less donated time & labor \$ 26,200.00

The local residents have already, per the Parks Department, started clearing out the olive trees as well as the stickers from the property. They have already put 200 to 250 hours into the removal of these items.

Mr. Tietjen asked the Board of Supervisors who authorized the project to begin. He is seeing things going down and areas being roped off. Mr. Tietjen stated his surprise that the Board of Supervisors gave the authorization to proceed without us having a chance to see the design and layout. Mr. Kolb stated the Board gave authorization to walk the course to look and see if the property was feasible. Mr. Fein stated the course was walked by himself and Mr. Rodgers. Mr. Tietjen asked Mr. Kolb if he saw what has been done to the property. Mr. Tietjen stated a lot of things have been taken out, paths have been cut and caution tape has been strung around the property. It looks like the work is proceeding before anyone had a chance to see if this is even operational.

Mr. Moyer stated he has some legal questions for the Solicitor. Mr. Moyer asked if the Board of Supervisors could take a brief time out for an Executive Session. The Board went into Executive Session at 7:45 pm.

The Board of Supervisors resumed the meeting at 7:55 pm.

Mr. Moyer apologized for asking for an executive session. However, there were legal questions that needed to be asked of the Solicitor.

Mr. Hosterman stated in light of the Executive Session, it seems clear that construction activity for the Disc Golf has not been authorized by the Board of Supervisors. The only thing that has been authorized thus far is a walking of the property to determine feasibility. Mr. Hosterman stated for this reason because of risk of injury there will not be any more clearing, cutting, roping off of areas until such time as the Supervisors may specifically authorize those types of activities in furtherance of construction of a Disc Golf Course. Mr. Hosterman stated the clearing has to stop.

Mr. Fein stated they walked the course with Mr. Rodgers. Mr. Fein stated Mr. Harris said to Mr. Rodgers they would like to start clearing out. Mr. Fein stated Mr. Rodgers said no problem, okay. Mr. Fein stated we did not arbitrarily go in and start clearing the property. Mr. Fein stated Mr. Rodgers said they should make as much progress as they could before the Township came in with some equipment to clear more. Mr. Fein stated in the last few years there have been over 6,000 courses developed and there has only been one (1) known incident of anyone getting hurt. The courses are no closer than 200 feet to a property line. Mr. Fein stated Disc Golf course people are good people and they clean up after themselves. He also agreed with Mr. Harris that this is a destination course, adding that people will come from Maryland. Mr. Fein stated sometimes his group of Disc Golf players will go away for a weekend and play four (4) or five (5) different courses.

Mr. Hosterman stated he wanted to make it clear this is not an issue with Disc Golf. This is a liability issue. Mr. Hosterman stated there has not been authorization to begin clearing and the Township's insurance carrier has not been notified of any sort of construction activity on the Wineberry Property for a Disc Golf Course.

Mr. Kolb asked Mr. Harris to continue with his presentation.

Mr. Harris continued with his presentation. Mr. Harris has discussed other activities with the Parks, Recreation & Conservation Committee at the location. Mr. Harris stated they will be well away from the stone wall on the property and that he has been using a brush hog on the massive stickers. If you wait until Spring, they will have to bring in larger equipment to clear the area. Mr. Harris stated they were looking at the parking and would like to see a larger parking area with approximately 60 spaces. The additional parking is not included in his proposal or budget. There will be beta testing, which will provide the change to make changes. This will be done before any permanent installation will be done. Mr. Harris stated without much further delay, the Township could be looking at a grand opening, ribbon cutting ceremony in late June 2016. Mr. Harris discussed maintenance of the course. The only maintenance would be mowing of grass on the fairways and mulching in some of the low lying areas to keep the mud down. The golfers pretty much take care of the course. The golfers like to respect the property.

Mr. Hosterman stated he has a small copy of the plan. Mr. Hosterman asked how large the original plan was for this course and what the dimensions were. Mr. Harris asked if he is looking for the dimension from tee to basket. Mr. Hosterman asked what size paper was used for the original plan for the course. It is hard to see a lot of the detail. Mr. Harris stated he has an 11 x 17 copy. Mr. Hosterman stated he believes the Supervisors would want to see a larger plan with more detail. Mr. Hosterman stated the larger the better. Normally plans are submitted in 24" x 36" format. Mr. Hosterman stated the larger the better so the Board can figure things out.

Mr. Kolb asked if people could just park on the grass rather than making the parking lot larger for the one day tournaments instead of going through the cost of adding to the parking. Mr. Harris stated it

would be up to the Township whether they would like people to park on the grass. Mr. Harris stated if the Township does not mind parking on the grass that would be fine. Mr. Kolb stated he would be concerned with the continuous maintenance on it. It will be more work. With the 18 holes, it will have to be kept mowed and the briars are going to start coming back out on the fairways. Mr. Harris stated the briars are going to be an issue. The volunteers will be taking care of the invasive species and the stickers.

Mr. Rinehart asked how other Townships scheduled use of the courses. Is it first come, first served or fee based. Mr. Harris stated he is not sure how that would work if the property is designated as open space. If the property is designated as open space, he does not know if a fee is able to be charged. Every course in Delaware is in a State Park where a fee is charged to enter the park. Almost every course in New Jersey is in a Municipal or County Park and they are all free. Pennsylvania has mixed locations. Mr. Fein stated the Township could put up a donation box.

Mr. Larry Tietjen, 131 Maack Road, Pottstown, PA 19465, stated that his comments were his personal comments and did not reflect any views of the Historical Commission, Planning Commission and Open Space Committee, all of which he is a member.

Mr. Tietjen stated when the Disc Golf Course was first discussed, he thought it would be someplace where he and his grandson could have some fun throwing a frisbee. Mr. Tietjen stated the course may be a good thing for those in the community who are funding these kinds of projects by paying taxes to do that. Mr. Tietjen stated he did not know that the mission was to bring people from outside the community on our tax dollar so they can enjoy our open space. If they do, I would think that it should be a destination where people are going to be able to look in the internet and figure out here is a good spot for me to fling a disc 70 miles per hour. We have folks who want to walk their dogs, who walk all through the property. They do not stay on one given trail, but like to use the whole area. Mr. Tietjen discussed the following concerns:

- The Township will not be able to guarantee who will be using the course and their skill level. The people will be throwing these discs 70 mile per hour for who knows how long.
- Will the Chief be able to supply protection to Mr. Tietjen's family and other residents of the Township. There will need to be security in place for the novice people to know when they can throw the disc and when they need to wait for the previous golfer to get out of the way.

Mr. Tietjen handed out items he found on the internet to the Board of Supervisors to review. Mr. Tietjen is asking the Board to review the presented materials and make an informed decision. One article explained how a disc golf course was installed and how the pedestrians gave up trying to walk through the park. Mr. Tietjen presented another article which contained information pertaining to how fast the discs can fly, how some people got hurt from flying discs, the different designs of the discs, damage to the landscaping around the course and the distance a disc can travel.

Mr. Tietjen stated his property at 131 Maack Road is registered on the Class 1 Historic Registry and that it was part of a minor subdivision, which divided his property from the land around it. When the minor subdivision was done, Mr. Gambone, the contractor at the time, was going to construct an additional 13 houses. In order for Mr. Gambone to continue with the proposed 13 house development, the Township placed some conditions on the development. The following conditions were in place:

1. The existing Maack Road would not be able to handle the additional traffic from 13 houses. In order to proceed with the development, Mr. Gambone would have to widen the road and the slope for proper site lines would have to be altered. Mr. Tietjen's driveway was going to be relocated and looped around in order for him to have safe sight distance.
2. There was supposed to be additional buffering around Mr. Tietjen's property. Trees were supposed to be added to each side of the street and along the back of his property.
3. Mr. Tietjen stated the property does not drain well. The water drains across his property, erodes

the lawn and goes into the pond. There were storm water management controls designed to correct the problem. Mr. Tietjen's property was going to be re-graded, the pond fixed and grading around the septic tank. This work was never done.

Mr. Tietjen stated he feels if the Township is going to develop the property, whether it is for our own use or if other people come to use it, he feels the Township should have to provide the same safety precautions and buffering the developer had agreed to do, which was part of his agreement. Mr. Tietjen was happy when the Township decided the development was not going to take place and all the conditions were not required because there was not going to be the increased traffic. We were not going to change the nature of the property. Now that you will be developing the property, he expects the Township should have to take the responsibility to give him exactly what the other developer had to give him. Mr. Tietjen is looking for the buffering so the people coming in from all over cannot see him. Mr. Tietjen does not want people to be able to observe his property.

Mr. Tietjen would like to be kept into the loop on what is happening with the property. Mr. Tietjen stated Mr. Gambone's engineer already has done the engineering for all the conditions. There should not be much engineering expense for the Township.

Mr. Tietjen wanted to provide the Board of Supervisors with his prospective and since the Township has taken over the property, he feels the Township should honor the Developer's requirements.

Mr. Kolb stated he believes the Board knows how Mr. Tietjen feels. Mr. Kolb stated he agrees with Mr. Tietjen on some points about the development. But, that would get so costly for the Township that the Disc Golf may not be feasible.

Mr. Preston stated he believes Mr. Gambone's plan was approved so the Township should review the plan.

Mr. Kolb asked how the Board of Supervisors felt. Mr. Rinehart stated there is a lot to digest.

Mr. Kolb stated he agrees in one sense, it is open space and a lot of people voted to have the open space to walk around on but, there are a lot of people who like to play frisbee golf. Mr. Tietjen stated if the Board thinks it is appropriate to use the residents' tax money to allow this to be a destination for people to come from all over the place, then that is the Board of Supervisors choice, but then the Board should provide the safety features and buffering that's required.

Mr. Kolb asked Mr. Hosterman if developing a frisbee golf course in the same as developing houses.

Mr. Hosterman stated he is not sure if the construction of a Frisbee Golf Course would fall under the umbrella of a Subdivision and/or Land Development the same way as Mr. Gambone's development. Those improvements were in all likelihood, and I am not familiar with the development, triggered by the Subdivision and Lane Development ordinance requirements. Mr. Hosterman stated he has never dealt with a Frisbee Disc Golf Course before, but what was being discussed did not sound like it would require a Land Development application and certainly not a Subdivision application. Mr. Hosterman said that is like apples and oranges, but that is not his final opinion because in fairness to him, he does not have any of the facts in front of him except what was said tonight and the disagreement as to what is going on.

Mr. Tietjen asked Mr. Hosterman to do some research and if it is not required to go through the Land Development process, if the traffic concerns in the development were a safety issue which required the road to be widened, that safety issue still remains today. Mr. Rinehart stated the difficulty there is a residents generate so many traffic trips per day, whereas this is occasional. Mr. Tietjen stated not if this is a destination. Mr. Fein stated this is not a destination for a thousand people to come all at once.

Mr. Moyer made a motion to table the issue to provide more time for the Board to look into this further and conduct more research. Mr. Rinehart seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 4-1-0 vote. Mrs. Nocella voted against the motion.

Mr. Kolb made a motion to have Mr. Hosterman and Mr. Flaharty to look into what would need to be done if the Township developed around Mr. Tietjen's property at 131 Maack Road, Pottstown, PA 19465 as far as widening the road, any buffering and stormwater management. Mr. Moyer seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 4-1-0 vote. Mrs. Nocella voted against the motion.

Mr. Rinehart asked if there are any infringements with the purchase of the property. Mr. Hosterman stated Mr. Rodgers would know the answer and feels he should research the questions. Mrs. Nocella believes the acquisition money came from a separate fund that had to do specifically with recreation. Mrs. Nocella stated they should check with Mr. Rodgers, but it is not from Open Space funds. Mrs. Nocella stated that is why she thought the Disc Golf would fit with recreation. Mrs. Nocella stated when you look at the layout of the plan Mr. Harris designed, you would not know the golf course is even in there. Mrs. Nocella stated if Mr. Tietjen looked at the plot plan as to where the holes are located, they are so far away from his house and so far into areas that are encased in picker bushes that you cannot even see where the holes are going to be. Mrs. Nocella was not under the understanding that there would be thousands of people coming to the course. Mr. Tietjen stated that he believes the plan should be run by the Historic Commission to review since the location is a Class 1 Historic location.

Mr. Moyer stated the Township created a referendum for an open space tax and the purpose of the open space tax was to create open space for the residents of East Coventry to enjoy. Now we are talking about creating something that will bring outsiders in and I am trying to understand the benefit. Mr. Tietjen stated he read that it will bring people in, especially from urban areas that do not have access to things. Mrs. Nocella stated she looks at the benefit of people coming into our Township financially. Mrs. Nocella stated if people are coming in for tournaments, she would like to see them visit our restaurants and spend money locally.

7. Exonerations

Mr. Moyer read the list of exonerations. Mrs. Nocella made a motion to approve the Exonerations List. Mr. Duffy seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

8. Payment of Bills

Mrs. Nocella made a motion to pay the bills as presented:

Fund Name	Amount to Pay
General Fund	508,133.09
Fire Hydrant Fund	1,018.23
Open Space	23,116.33
Sewer Operating Fund	23,290.06
Sewer Capital Fund	-
Traffic Impact Fee Fund	5,269.10
Capital Reserve	-
Highway State Aid Fund	-
TD Escrow	-
Totals	\$ <u>560,826.81</u>

Mr. Rinehart seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

9. Information Items and Additional Items to be Brought Before the Board

Mr. Kolb stated the Ussler trail is complete. Mr. Kolb stated he knows the Parks, Recreation and Conservation Committee will want some benches. Mr. Kolb asked if there are some Eagle Scout to make the benches and how many benches would be needed. Mrs. Nocella stated the Parks, Recreation and Conservation Committee will have to walk the trail to see the best locations for the benches and determine the number. Mrs. Nocella stated at this time, they do not know of any Eagle Scouts available.

Mr. Kolb discussed the water fountain at Towpath Park. Mr. Kolb explained that DEP has implemented new testing requirements for water fountains. DEP now requires a water test every month instead of every quarter. The cost is \$60.00 per test. Mr. Kolb asked if the Board would like to eliminate the water fountain. A discussion ensued. Mr. Rinehart asked about the proposed bathrooms to be located at Fricks Lock Village. Mr. Kolb stated that will be up to the County to test once the bathroom is open. Mr. Moyer made a motion to shut down the water fountain and notify the County Health Department. Mrs. Nocella seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 4-1-0 vote. Mr. Rinehart voted against the motion. Mr. Kolb stated he will remove the water fountain.

Mr. Kolb stated in front of the proposed East Coventry Elementary School along East Cedarville Road there has always been a water drainage issue. Storm water always crossed the roadway creating drainage issues on the opposite side of the road. PennDOT recently installed 100 feet of additional pipe extending from the last inlet and this resolved the drainage issue for the residents. However, he expressed concern that this drainage may be altered or otherwise impacted by the OJR Elementary School project. Mr. Flaharty stated the School District will have to show it on their drawings, make it part of the PennDOT HOP application and do whatever PennDOT requires. Mr. Rinehart asked if Senator Rafferty was involved. Mr. Kolb stated that State Representative Hennessey was involved.

10. Adjournment

Mrs. Nocella moved to adjourn the monthly meeting at 8:50 p.m. Mr. Duffy seconded the motion. Mr. Kolb called for the vote and **the motion** carried with a 5-0-0 vote.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheryl A Imes
Administrative Assistant